Signatures and constraints on Warm Dark Matter scenarios from reionization, 21-cm, first galaxies

Anastasia Fialkov

Harvard

26 of November 2015

l'Observatoire de Paris

Département de Physique

École Normale Supérieure

Outline

- The early Universe (overview)
- Effect of WDM on:
  1. Number Counts
  2. Thermal history and Reionization
  3. 21-cm signal
  4. Star formation



Today: Golden Age of Astronomy, Cosmology and Astrophysics

The Universe

Unobservable
Universe
(optically thick)



Observable
Universe
(optically thin)



The Observable Universe

Image: Loeb, Scientific American 2006



CMB
Dark Ages
First stars & galaxies
Reionization
Large Scale Structure

Unobserved Part of the Observable Universe

Image: Loeb, Scientific American 2006



Dark ages First stars & galaxies Reionization

What can we learn about Dark Matter from Future Observations at Higher Redshifts?

Dark Ages



- Universe expands and cools
- Large scale density fluctuations grow linearly
- No stars

Cosmic Dawn: First Stars and Galaxies



- First halos collapse, start formation starts at z ~ 65 (majority form at z < 30)
- Primordial star formation in minihalos : H or H_2 cooling
- Stars are rare at high redshifts (biased by δ_{LS} and v_{bc})

Reionization



, Fialkov et al. 2013

- Radiation from stars and other sources gradually (re-) heats and (re-) ionizes intergalactic gas
- Ionization bubbles



Plethora of Open Questions

Some of the unknowns:

- What were the masses of first stars and star forming halos?
- How efficient was star formation?
- How first stars ended their lives?
- What was the dominating heating mechanism?
- How efficient were the stars in ionizing the gas?
- How efficient were radiative and mechanical feedbacks?
- How metal enrichment proceeded?
- Were there any exotic processes (e.g., dark matter annihilation)?

…

Plethora of Open Questions

Some of the unknowns:

- What were the masses of first stars and star forming halos?
- How efficient was star formation?
- How first stars ended their lives?
- What was the dominating heating mechanism?
- How efficient were the stars in ionizing the gas?
- How efficient were radiative and mechanical feedbacks?
- How metal enrichment proceeded?
- Were there any exotic processes (e.g., dark matter annihilation)?

…

What is the nature of ~ 85 % of matter??

Future observations of the early Universe could answer some of these questions



Seeing the First Galaxies



JWST and WFIRST - a powerful time machines that will peer back over 13.5 billion years to see the first stars and galaxies forming out of the darkness of the early universe.

Probe galaxies during reionization



Identifying the First Heating Sources

Future X-ray missions will explore

- The formation and subsequent growth of black hole seeds at very high redshift
- The emergence of the first galaxy groups
- Resolve the present unresolved X-ray background into sources

Constrain the first sources of heat







Probing the State and Distribution of Matter

High-z Universe is mostly filled with HI

HI emits 21-cm signal, probe of

- Dark Ages
- Cosmic Dawn
- Reionization





http://reionization.org/

21-cm Signal is a Promising tool

Image: Loeb, Scientific American 2006



- 3D picture of the Universe
- Probe of small scale structure (no Silk damping)

Probes of Warm Dark Matter in the Early Universe



Outline:

- Large scale structure in WDM models
- Luminosity function
- Star formation
- Reionization
- 21-cm signal

Large Scale Structure

Matter power spectra for 3 keV WDM





KICC simulations



WDM smears out small-scale power. The strongest effects on the smallest galaxies at the highest z:

- Decrease in the number density and Change in the mass buildup

Need to account for:

- Particle free streaming (Bode P., Ostriker J. P., Turok N., 2001)
- Residual velocity dispersion of the WDM delays gravitational collapse (e.g., Barkana R., Haiman Z., Ostriker J. P., 2001)

Clustering at z = 6



Schultz et al. 2014

Abundance of Dark Matter Halos

- Decrease in the number density
- Change in the mass build up of the smallest galaxies at the highest redshifts, WDM – no small galaxies

Collapsed fraction



Sitwell, Mesinger, Ma, Sigurdson 2014

Number counts at z = 10



Pacucci, Mesinger, Haiman 2013

Sources of Astrophysical Uncertainties



Initial conditions





- Density
- Velocity
- Radiative feedback
  - Lyman-Werner

21-cm brightness temperature



Fialkov, Barkana, Visbal, Tseliakhovich, Hirata (2013)

Abundance of Dark Matter Halos Astrophysical Uncertainties











Star formation in 10^5-10^7 M_{sun} halos:

Interplay between WDM (~ 10 keV), astrophysics and v_{bc}.





Collapsed Fraction at z = 10, Fluctuations

WDM, 3 keV, $M_J \sim 10^9\ M_{sun}$

CDM



Atomic cooling
$M > 10^7\ M_{sun}$

Star formation
in heavy halos
$M > 10^{10}\ M_{sun}$

Effect on the Luminosity Function at High Redshfts

Faint galaxy counts at higher redshift are sensitive to WDM scenario

Predicted number density of galaxies brighter than M_{AB} = -16 (Schultz et al. 2014)





Luminosity Function in WDM

Dayal et al. 2015



Here: No baryonic effects, Constant M/L ratio

1.5 keV can be probed by JWST (10^{10} M_{sun})

Vertical lines –10^4 sec integration limits of the JWST, 10σ

Luminosity Function with UV Feedback



Dayal et al. 2015

Here: Supernovae and photoheating feedbacks

Faint end: build up is driven by smoothly accreting gas from the IGM

Bright end: dominated by mergers

UV LFs are shaped by the star formation histories of each galaxy. Stellar populations are younger in WDM and so are more UV luminous → feedback decreases the differences between WDM and CDM LF

Stellar Mass in the Universe

- Galaxies in WDM models form later
- Younger, more UV luminous stellar population
- Assemble their stars more rapidly than in CDM
- Galaxies of a given M* are more UV luminous

Stellar mass density





Mass to light ratio, Dayal et al. 2014

First Stars

The First Billion Years of a Warm Dark Matter Universe

Umberto Maio[1,2⋆], Matteo Viel[1,3]

"The most striking effect of WDM results to be a **dramatic drop of star formation activity in the whole first billion years**.
$\Delta z = 6$ (0.1 Gyr) delay in collapse and star formation"

First Stars

- Primordial stars form via H_2 or HI cooling (10^5-10^7 M_{sun})
- WDM: no small halos, H_2 cooling in WDM haloes (> 3 keV) is inhibited
- Luminous objects in WDM are very rare at z > 10



Maio & Viel (2014)

Molecular Fractions, >3 keV

First Stars

WDM vs CDM

- Star formation via HI and metal line cooling
- Less halos exist
- More halos form stars
- Metal pollution starts earlier.
- PopIII contribution drops down fast (enrichment takes place suddenly).
- More gas turns into stars and can experience more chemical feedback.



Fraction of star hosting haloes in CDM and WDM models.

Redshift	CDM	WDM
$z = 7$	67 %	70 %
$z = 10$	43 %	55 %
$z = 15$	17 %	40 %



Maio & Viel (2014)

Filaments

The structure of the filaments is very different:

- CDM filaments fragment into numerous nearly spherical high density regions ('halos')
- WDM filaments are mostly devoid of such substructure



Gao & Theuns (Science, 2007)

Observational Prospects: LLSs & DLAs

- WDM: Atomic line cooling allows gas in the centers of filaments to cool, resulting in a very striking pattern of extended Lyman-limit systems (LLSs).
- Column density of gas through the WDM filaments is very high (> 10^{18} cm^{-2})
- LLS correlation function





From : D. Erkal

Stars in WDM can form in Filaments!

- For $m_x \sim 1.5$ keV → SF in filaments dominates at $z > 6$!
- Reionization → gas density in filaments decreases due to photoheating fbk, star formation in haloes dominates at $z < 6$
- By $z = 0$, 15 % of stars in a simulated galaxy formed in filaments.
- However: “No theory” for star formation in filaments yet.



WDM: Filaments do not fragment

(Gao & Theuns, 2007; Gao, Theuns, Springel 2015)

Effect of WDM on First Stars

Example: Star Formation in Filaments for 1.5 keV WDM, atomic cooling



Gao, Theuns, Springel (2014)

Results from zoomed cosmological hydrodynamical simulations. Formation of a Milky Way-like galaxy in WDM.

Thermal history, Reionization and 21-cm signal as a probe of WDM



High-z Thermal History is Unknown

Different types of heating sources → different thermal histories



Thermal History WDM vs CDM



Heating from WDM decay, astrophysical heating (X-rays), and adiabatic cooling rates

Effects of WDM on Heating:

- Suppressed structure formation, delay in heating and reionization
- Heat transfer to gas from WDM decay (insignificant)



Evoli et al. 2014

Thermal History WDM vs CDM
Astrophysical Uncertainties

- Heating efficiencies Δz ~ few
- Star formation scenario Δz ~ 0.8
- v_{bc} : Δz < 1
- Radiative feedbacks: Δz ~ 2.5

Sitwell, Mesinger, Ma, Sigurdson (2014)





Fialkov et al. (2013)

Reionization WDM vs CDM



- Delayed: fewer stars at high redshifts (Mesinger, Ewall-Wice, Hewitt 2014; Yue, Chen 2012).
- Enhanced: less sinks (minihalos), lower recombination rate (e.g., Haiman et al. 2001, Benson et al. 2001; Barkana & Loeb 2002).
- In CDM the bulk of the reionization photons come from $M_h < 10^9 M_{sun}$ WDM : shift in the reionization" population to larger masses (Dayal et al. 2015)
- Astrophysical uncertainties: star formation efficiency; escape fraction, feedbacks.

m_x < 2.5 keV is ruled out by Planck



Dayal et al. 2015

21-cm Signal
3D Picture of the Universe

Golden Mine for astrophysics and cosmology!

- Dark Ages
- First Stars and Galaxies
- Reionization

21-cm Signal
Spin-flip Transition of HI

- Allows to map distribution of neutral hydrogen
- Probe Dark Ages, Cosmic Dawn and Reionization



Image: Loeb, Scientific American 2006



Spin Temperature

$n_1/n_0 \equiv 3\exp(-T_*/T_S)$,

$T_* = 0.068$ K

λ = 21 cm

ν = 1420 MHz (Radio)

T_S is Determined by 3 Processes

- Absorption of CMB: $T_S \rightarrow T_{CMB}$

$$\frac{1}{T_s} = \frac{T_{\mathrm{CMB}}^{-1} + x_C T_K^{-1} + x_\alpha T_C^{-1}}{1 + x_C + x_\alpha}$$

- Collisions with other HI: x_C, $T_S \rightarrow T_{gas}$



- Absorption and reemission of Lyα: x_α, $T_S \rightarrow T_c \sim T_{gas}$ (Wouthuysen 1952, Field 1958)



T_S depends on many astrophysical and cosmological parameters

21-cm Signal is Science-rich but Hard to Model

Simulate both small scales (stars) and large cosmological scales (size of the Universe)

Include many parameters: IC, first stars, their radiation, environment, temperature of the gas etc.



21-cm Signal is Science-rich but Hard to Measure

Astrophysical Foregrounds

- Galactic Synchrotron Emission
- Extragalactic Radio Sources

Terrestrial

- Radio Frequency Interference
- Ionosphere Distortions



Zaroubi, 2013

Current and Future Observational Effort:

What do We Actually Observe?









$\lambda_{obs} = 21(1+z_1)$ cm

$\lambda_{obs} = 21(1+z_2)$ cm

The redshifted 21-cm line probes 3D distribution and properties of HI

Predicted Global 21-cm Signal



Dark ages, Collisional coupling

Stars appear Ly-a coupling

Heating

Ionization

$$\delta T_b(\nu) = \frac{T_S - T_\gamma(z)}{1+z}\left(1 - e^{-\tau_{\nu 0}}\right) \approx \frac{T_S - T_\gamma(z)}{1+z}\,\tau_{\nu 0}$$

$$\approx 9\, x_{\rm HI}(1+\delta)\,(1+z)^{1/2}\left[1 - \frac{T_\gamma(z)}{T_S}\right]\left[\frac{H(z)/(1+z)}{\mathrm{d}v_\parallel/\mathrm{d}r_\parallel}\right]\ \mathrm{mK}$$

WDM Fingerprints in the 21-cm Signal

- Delayed stellar evolution
- Deeper absorption trough
- “Accelerated” heating (later start)



WDM Fingerprints in the 21-cm Signal
Degenerated with Star Formation

- Absorption trough is deeper by ~25 % than in CDM (cools longer)
- Shift of the trough Δz ~ 5
- Larger derivatives at higher freq. Easier to observe (e.g., LEDA)
- Astrophysical uncertainties: feedback, X-ray heating, v_{bc} …



Sitwell, Mesinger, Ma, Sigurdson (2014)

Inhomogeneous Signal. Fluctuations



- Generic dependence of power spectrum on z for a given k
- Each source of fluctuations contributes at different epoch



21-cm Power Spectrum





Dotted curves show forecasts for the 1σ power spectrum thermal noise with 2000h of observation time.

Summary:
WDM in the Early Universe





WDM

- Suppresses fluctuations at small scales
- Delays stellar evolution
- Delays build-up of radiative feedbacks
- Affects reionization
- 21-cm signal from $z \lesssim 30$
- **Stars could form in filaments**

Astrophysical processes can have similar effect

- v_{bc}, feedbacks, X-ray heating, SF efficiency, escape fraction,…